

Saturday 24 September 2011

Opening Session (Sat, 24 Sep, 09:00–11:00)

1 INVITED Metabolism and Cancer

T. Mak¹. ¹Ontario Cancer Institute, Toronto Ontario, Canada

Cancer cells must fulfill the three basic metabolic requirements for rapid cell growth: production of useable energy stores as ATP, production of precursors for biosynthesis of macromolecules, and maintenance of an optimal redox balance in the cell. These requirements are often met through mutations in oncogenic signaling pathways that result in dramatic changes to cellular metabolism. These adaptations also synergize with the tumour microenvironment to select for cancer cells with the ability to proliferate under the harsh conditions frequently found in solid tumours, such as hypoxia and limited nutrients. Cells with this ability are said to have undergone “metabolic transformation”, and the metabolic changes that potentiate this growth constitute a characteristic profile.

Rapid cell growth produces high levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) that can damage the cell. Cells can neutralize such oxidative stress by using NADPH to maintain the activity of antioxidant molecules such as reduced glutathione and thioredoxin. NADPH is thus not only a crucial cofactor for many biosynthetic reactions but also an essential component of intracellular defense against oxidation. The main pathways of NADPH generation are: (1) the pentose phosphate pathway, which is an alternate pathway of glucose utilization that produces ribose sugars; (2) malic enzyme, which converts malate acid to pyruvate; and (3) isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH), which converts isocitrate to α -ketoglutarate (α -KG).

Recently, specific driver mutations were identified in the IDH gene that alter the activity of its gene product. Instead of catalyzing the conversion of isocitrate into α -KG with the concomitant production of NADPH, the mutant enzyme produces 2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG) and NADP. Not only is a major metabolic source of NADPH compromised, but 2-HG has been identified as an oncometabolite linked to tumorigenesis. Current studies are focused on determining precisely how these effects of IDH mutation affect cellular metabolism and promote tumorigenic transformation. Increased knowledge of the nature of the metabolic changes that occur in tumour cells will enable the design of anticancer therapeutics that can target these pathways and block aggressive cell growth.

2 INVITED Personalised Medicine is the Future

Abstract not received

3 INVITED Challenges to Implementation of Personalised Cancer Therapy

G. Mills¹. ¹UT MD Anderson Cancer Center, Department of Systems Biology, Houston Texas, USA

While personalized cancer therapy through implementation of molecular marker driven targeted therapy holds great promise, there are multiple hurdles, technical, theoretical and cultural, to be overcome before personalized cancer therapy becomes the standard of care. Indeed, while remarkable responses to targeted therapy have been reported, only a subpopulation of patients responds and responses are all too frequently short term with the rapid emergence of resistant clones. More critically, there appear to be cases where targeted therapies make tumours grow faster and in some cases resistant clones appear more aggressive than the primary clone. Further the cost of assessing molecular markers such as sequencing and the cost of targeted therapies that benefit only a few patients constitutes an incredible burden on already strained health care resources. Thus we are far from understanding the challenges and overcoming the pitfalls to the implementation of personalized cancer therapy.

Next generation sequencing (NGS) approaches are held forward as the next great step forward in implementing personalized cancer therapy. Indeed, it is possible to sequence a human genome for under 10,000 dollars and the costs continue to drop rapidly. However, NGS is currently fraught with major problems. As currently implemented, it remains challenging to attain an accurate idea of the mutations, copy number and rearrangements in the patient genome and in particular to determine which changes are relevant. The accuracy of NGS in patient tumours, where there is contamination with normal tissues and likely multiple important subclones, is driven by the depth of sequencing. The cost of 10,000 dollars for a human genome is presupposed on a depth of sequencing of 30–60 fold. Unfortunately at this depth of sequencing, achieving a true positive rate of 60% results in a false positive rate approaching 60%. Both of these are

unacceptable for patient management. Further the 10,000 dollars does not include the costs of bioinformatics analysis or data storage and handling. Indeed, it has been estimated that even the eventual 1,000 dollar genome will cost 100,000 dollars to manage and interpret.

We now know that the majority of the aberrations seen in the human genome of a cancer patient are likely to be non-informative. First most of the aberrations present are likely to be “passengers”; a consequence of genomic instability or aberrations in DNA repair, rather than “drivers” where they determine the behavior of the tumour. Further the vast majority of the “drivers” present are not actionable in that they are not druggable or we do not know which drug to use. Further, we now know that even different aberrations in a single gene may have markedly different consequences or the same aberration in two different diseases could indicate markedly different sensitivity to drugs. The current lack of a useful functional genomics platform to link aberrations in a specific patient to the optimal therapeutic intervention is currently the most major limitation to implementation of personalized cancer therapy. As an example, we have yet to find a useful actionable event in patient tumours through NGS.

We now treat a number of cancers based on underlying genetic aberrations. However, we usually base this treatment on tumour material that does not reflect the disease that is currently threatening the patient. For example, the genomic aberrations in a primary tumour may not reflect those in a metastasis or those in a recurrence that happens many years later. Further, each tumour likely contains many important subclones. If we fail to characterize all of the subclones, we may manage only a subset of the tumour and indeed by eliminating the dominant clone could allow a more aggressive subclone to grow out. We already have data that patient with differences between primary and metastases in terms of biomarkers fare far worse than patients without differences. Does this require a biopsy of all metastases and recurrences and a characterization of the genome. This would massively increase the cost as well as be associated with the morbidity associated with repeat biopsies. The goal of replacing biopsies with molecular imaging or characterization of circulating tumour cells or DNA remains a dream; an important dream but a dream nevertheless.

In lieu of an ability to treat individual patients based on the changes in the genomes, we are currently moving towards stratified therapy, treating groups of patients based on similarities in their tumours. This is also fraught with challenges. Indeed, ductal breast cancer, the most common cancer in women is now a set of orphan diseases with at least eight different therapeutically relevant groups. It is now difficult to mount and complete clinical trials based on the underlying genetic aberrations. The ultimate goal of truly individualized cancer therapy is an anathema to the current trial design and regulatory processes.

Finally, resistance to targeted therapies arises all too rapidly in almost all cases. This could be due to errors in molecular analysis, incorrect hypotheses originally, the tumour heterogeneity described above or the induction of regulatory loops that bypass the effects of the drugs. This has led to the concept that we will need to develop rational combinatorial therapies. This however is fraught with its own challenges of increased costs, increased toxicity and difficulty in getting multiple companies to cooperate in clinical trials. The orphan disease problem described above also contributes.

Thus while there is incredible excitement about the potential implementation of personalized cancer therapy, it is easy to contend that the spectacular press and excitement is massively overblown. The number of successes, and in most cases these are only found in small subpopulations of patient and are transient, are far outnumbered by spectacular failures. The attendant cost of implementing personalized cancer therapy has added a massive burden to health care costs at a very minor improvement in outcomes for a small population of patients. We are far from overcoming the hurdles associated with the implementation of personalized cancer therapy.

Oncology Nursing Opening and Award Session (Sat, 24 Sep, 11:00–11:30)

4 EONS Distinguished Merit Award The Impact of a Maternal Cancer Diagnosis on School-age Children

E. Furlong¹. ¹University College Dublin, Nursing, Dublin, Ireland

Whilst the patient/client is always the central focus of cancer care it is important to consider the impact of a cancer diagnosis and treatment on the family members, especially young children, whose developmental and social capacity are evolving. Cancer is a major healthcare issue and the experience of living with a mother's diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer affects many children in Europe. However, a limited amount of research exists on children's experiences in the context of maternal breast cancer. Well and sick children are of particular interest to me and therefore I undertook my PhD in this area entitled ‘School-age children's experiences

in the context of maternal breast cancer'. Generating a theory from the children themselves, who are experiencing their mother's cancer diagnosis and treatment, was central to this study. To date most studies relevant to this area have investigated parents' perceptions of children's experiences or examined children's own experiences many years following their mother's breast cancer diagnosis.

The aim of this particular grounded theory study was to generate a theory about the experiences of 7–11 year old children whose mothers' had been diagnosed with and receiving treatment for early-stage breast cancer with a view to contributing to knowledge development. Analysis of the data revealed that the main concerns of the participants were the processes involved in navigating their lives through a period of disrupted mothering. The substantive theory of 'protecting' was conceptualised from the data to describe children's experiences in the context of maternal breast cancer. This theory had three sub-core categories of Shifting Normality, Shielding and Transitioning, with numerous properties and sub-properties. The central argument in this study is that the children were trying to protect their own lives and well as that of their mothers. The findings provide a mechanism for understanding how the perceived loss of the 'well' mother raised concerns for the children and resulted in adaptations of roles and responsibilities.

It is suggested that there is a gap in services where the needs of children, whose mother had cancer, are not adequately met in that the children had little, if any, opportunity to meet with healthcare professionals. In addition, parents may need assistance with how they can talk with their children about the diagnosis and the changes in family life. Children's experiences of parental chronic illness is a healthcare issue for the present and the future and the healthcare system needs to identify future services and developments if society is to truly value children and listen to children's voices.

Scientific Symposium (Sat, 24 Sep, 11:15–13:15) Improving the Therapeutic Ratio of Radiotherapy: New Concepts to Protect Normal Tissues

5

INVITED

Molecular Targeting to Protect Normal Tissues

W. Doerr¹. ¹Medical Faculty Carl Gustav Carus University of Technology Dresden, Department Radiotherapy and Radiation Oncology, Dresden, Germany

Strategies for 'molecular-based' modification of normal tissue responses to irradiation must be designed for the underlying pathobiology in the individual tissues and organs, and the biology of the contributing cell populations as well as their interactions. Interventions in the processing of radiation damage can be directed against any step of the pathogenetic cascade in cells, including early production of free radicals (e.g. hypoxia, radical scavenging), activation of transcription factors (such as NFκB or AP-1), modulation of intracellular and juxta-/paracrine signaling cascades (activation/deactivation of growth factor signaling pathways, inflammatory signaling and others), or modulation of the immune response. Moreover, 'tissular' reactions may be modified, such as proliferation/differentiation in early responding tissues, the chronic oxidative stress response, the vascular response, or the fibrotic tissue remodeling in late responding tissues. Examples will be presented. However, the mechanisms of action underlying the protective or ameliorative effects must be clarified in order to design optimum clinical protocols. Therefore, before clinical investigation, modification approaches should be thoroughly tested in animal models, with relevant (fractionated) irradiation protocols and relevant endpoints. Results from single-dose and fractionation studies can be divergent, as has been demonstrated in a number of preclinical investigations. In order to prove selectivity and to guarantee a therapeutic gain, any strategy, if applied during or shortly after the oncological treatment, must also be tested for potential tumour effects.

Today, most of the normal tissue targeting strategies must be considered experimental. Most promising, with first clinical studies, are the interaction with (some) growth factor signaling cascades, the interruption of chronic oxidative stress (in late tissue reactions), and the treatment (mobilization and transplantation) with stem cells, haematopoietic, mesenchymal and tissue-specific. It must, however, be assumed that strategies targeting single molecules or cascades will only result in a delayed tissue response, because of the activation of backup pathways in the cells and tissues. Hence, 'modification cocktails' may be required, but the efficacy of their individual components must first be tested under the premises outlined above.

6

INVITED

Stem Cells – Role for Radiation Response and Therapeutic Approaches

R.P. Coppes¹. ¹University Medical Center Groningen, Departments of Radiation Oncology and Cell Biology, Groningen, The Netherlands

Inevitably normal tissues are also exposed to ionizing radiation during the treatment of cancer with radiotherapy. Many factors play a role in the response of tissues to irradiation, but ultimately the ability of stem cells to reconstitute functional cells determines the onset and the severity of the radiation effects. The maintenance and repair of the tissue integrity are the primary roles of the tissue stem cell. Stem cells are undifferentiated reside between differentiated cells and are able to self-renew to prevent aging. In contrast, progenitor cells although capable of producing specialized cell types have a limited life-span as they are incapable of self-renewal. Stem cell therapy provides a potential prevention or treatment of radiation-induced normal tissue damage. Several stem cell types are being investigated for their potential use in stem/progenitor cell therapy: embryonic stem cells, induced pluripotent stem cells, tissue adult stem cells (ASC), mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) and epithelial progenitor cells (EPC). Of these the last three are used as or are close to clinical application and will be discussed in the current presentation.

Mobilisation or injection of bone marrow derived MSCs have been shown to ameliorate radiation-induced side-effects in gut, skin, oral mucosa and salivary gland, potentially through modulation of immunogenicity, apoptosis and the secretion of cytokines/growth factors that stimulate regeneration, but not through transdifferentiation. Indeed, stimulation of surviving stem/progenitor cells using growth factors has been shown to be promising in e.g. gut and salivary glands albeit only when sufficient stem/progenitor cell remain after irradiation. For tissue in which vascular damage is the main cause of organ dysfunction after irradiation, transplantation or mobilisation of bone marrow derived EPCs and vascular progenitor cells may result in inhibition of normal tissue damage, although also for such therapies survival of local stem/progenitor cells seems to be a prerequisite. Independent from the number of surviving stem/progenitor cells ASC transplantation seems to be a promising therapy. For mouse salivary gland the potential of such therapies has been shown and are currently being translated to clinical application. Recent developments will be discussed. The application of stem/progenitor cell therapy in all its forms to reduce normal tissue effects will have a tremendous impact on radiation oncology in the near future.

7

INVITED

Possible Intervention Strategies to Reduce Radiation-induced Heart Disease

S. Hoving¹, I. Seemann¹, K. Gabriels², N.L. Visser¹, J.A. te Poele¹, S. Heeneman², M.J. Daemen², F.A. Stewart¹. ¹The Netherlands Cancer Institute – Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Hospital, Experimental Therapy, Amsterdam, ²Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht, Experimental Vascular Pathology, Maastricht, The Netherlands

Radiotherapy is a common treatment for breast cancer and Hodgkin's lymphoma and it is associated with good long-term survival prognosis. Unfortunately, increased risk of cardiovascular disease is now recognized as a late complication when significant volumes of the heart and coronary arteries are included in the radiation field. We showed previously in mice that radiotherapy induces dose- and time-dependent changes in the heart, starting with an acute epicardial inflammation and microvascular damage and progressing to vascular leakage, myocardial fibrosis and amyloid depositions. Intervention to inhibit the progressive inflammatory changes or stimulate vascular recovery could help to prevent cardiac failure at later times after irradiation. This presentation will review the limited published data available and describe novel approaches to inhibit radiation-induced heart damage.

Pre-clinical studies in rats have investigated amifostine, pentoxifylline/tocopherol I (Vit. E) or the ACE inhibitor captopril in heart radiation models. Amifostine is able to prevent radiation-induced reduction in coronary flow and aortic flow and decreased the histopathological changes seen at 6 months post-irradiation. Pentoxifylline/Vit. E reduced TGF-β1 mRNA levels and subsequently reduced fibrosis. Captopril did not prevent cardiac dysfunction, but did reduce myocardial fibrosis and prevented left ventricular capillary density loss after local heart irradiation. In myocardial infarct models, thalidomide has been shown to attenuate the development of fibrosis and promote vessel maturation. Other experimental studies have shown that bone-marrow-derived endothelial progenitor cells (BM-EPC) can stimulate vascular recovery and improve cardiac function after myocardial infarction. We are currently setting up experiments to evaluate the potential of thalidomide or BM-EPC to stimulate vascular recovery after cardiac irradiation in mice.